

## IMI2 JU responses to the Independent Observers' Report

**Call ID: H2020-JTI-IMI2-2016-10-two-stage**

**IMI2 10<sup>th</sup> Call for Proposals**

**Stage 1 evaluation**

**Date of evaluation: 24-28 April 2017**

**Name of the observers: Monica Dietl and Nicole Haeffner-Cavaillon**

### Overall conclusions

- There were no apparent violations of the published Guidelines and the evaluations, and discussions were fair and transparent.
- All evaluation IMI2 JU procedures have been fully respected.
- The well-defined evaluation procedures, the high scientific level of Independent Experts and the skilled Scientific Officers supported by a very competent staff allowed the proposals to benefit of an outstanding quality assessment.
- All participants approached their tasks with commitment and professionalism.
- The Consensus Evaluation Reports were drafted with active participation of all Panel members and reviewed collectively, under the guidance of the IMI2 JU Scientific Officers. They faithfully represent the consensus opinion of the Panels.
- It should be emphasized that the IMI2 JU assessment procedures have reached a very high level of quality directly connected to the expertise, professionalism and competence of its employees.

### IMI2 JU responses to the overall conclusions

The independent observers confirm that the IMI2 JU procedures have been fully respected and that the proposals benefited from an assessment of outstanding quality.

IMI2 JU is pleased to have the confirmation of the independent observers that the evaluation process is managed by skilled and competent staff, involving experts of a high scientific level and following well-defined evaluation procedures.